





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION ?	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,796		06/19/2001	Zine-Eddine Boutaghou	169.12-0496	4390
164	7590	04/29/2004	•	EXAMINER	
	Y & LANG	-	MILLER, BRIAN E		
	NNEY & LA TH THIRD	NGE BUILDING STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNE	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415-1002			2652	13

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/884,796	BOUTAGHOU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Brian E. Miller	2652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
· <u>-</u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 3-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-7 and 9-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the description of the descripti	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Application/Control Number: 09/884,796

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 3-26 are now pending.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. The indicated allowability of claims 3-7, 15-16 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Lille (US 6,587,314). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informality: claim 6, the word "basecoat" should be inserted appropriately. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 3-4, 9-10, 13, 15-17, 19-20, 22-23, 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lille (US 6,587,314). With respect to claims 3, 9 & 19, Lille discloses an

Art Unit: 2652

air bearing slider, as primarily shown in FIGs. 2 & 3, including: a transducer 350 for communicating with a disc 118A; a composite wafer slider 300 with a front portion 358 composed of a first material, e.g., TiC, and a rear portion 354 composed of a second material, i.e., Si, different from the first material; the slider body having an air bearing surface 374 defined on the disc opposing face of the slider body, where the air bearing surface comprises the front portion and the rear portion (see col. 5, lines 45-47); a transducer basecoat portion 352 attached to the rear portion of the slider body containing the transducer 350; (as per claims 15 & 25) wherein the interface between the first and second materials comprises a single latitudinal plane with respect to the slider body which is perpendicular to the air bearing surface; (as per claims 4, 356) wherein the thickness of the first material is "as much as 15 times" a thickness of the second material; (as per claims 10 & 23) wherein the lapping durability of the first material is greater than the second material; (as per claims 16 & 26) wherein the latitudinal plane separates the front portion from the rear, and the front portion is composed entirely of the first material and the rear portion is composed entirely of the second material.

5. Claims 19-20, 23-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA), i.e., FIGs. 2A-2B. The AAPA shows a composite slider body, having a front portion 42 composed of a first material, e.g., AlTiC, a rear portion 44 composed of a second material, e.g., Al₂O₃, different from the first material; an air bearing surface which comprises a leading ABS portion 40 formed in the front body portion; a trailing ABS portion formed in the rear portion, i.e., which includes the ABS portion on the center of the rear pad of which the basecoat 46 forms; (as per claim 20) wherein the thickness of the first material is "as much as 15 times" a thickness of the second material; (as per claim 23) wherein the lapping

Application/Control Number: 09/884,796

Art Unit: 2652

durability of the first material is greater than the second material; (as per claims 25-26) wherein a single latitudinal plane separates the front portion from the rear, and the front portion is composed entirely of the first material and the rear portion is composed entirely of the second material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5-7, 11-12, 14, 18, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lille. For a description of Lille, see the rejection, supra. With respect to claims 11, 12, 18, Lille is expressly silent as to forming multiple sliders on one bar and subsequently cutting them to make individual sliders. Official Notice is taken that such process steps, are notoriously old and well known in this art, and providing such to Lille, would have been obvious to a skilled artisan (note also USP 5,559,051 which was incorporated by reference by Lille and includes the aforementioned steps). The motivation would have been: forming a plurality of sliders and transducers on a bar would have provided many slider assemblies in one step, thus reducing manufacturing time and increasing consistency throughout the samples, which method steps were conventionally used in this art.

With respect to the transducer portion including the second material, e.g., in this case Si, as it was well known for insulating magnetic heads, SiO₂, which includes Si, would have been readily utilized in the transducer basecoat portion (see FIG. 2). Official Notice is taken that SiO₂ was a notoriously old and well known insulating layer in magnetic heads. The motivation would have been: SiO₂ being a well known insulating material provides proper insulation for the coil(s) and/or between pole layers as was conventionally known.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-14, 17-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

A...It is noted by the Examiner that new claims 19-20, 23-26 are encompassed by the AAPA, as they do not include all of the limitations as recited in at least claim 3.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (703) 308-2850. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:15am-4:45pm (and every other friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian E. Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

Bem April 21, 2004